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# Speaking1

## TPO1

Autonomous Driving

Recently, I read a book named autonomous driving, written by Gorge Orwell. The book influences me a lot. Growth of technology has made everything possible. The scientist is developing a system that cause robots make decision on their own. This makes me feel fear. It seems that the Holy Wood movies are going to happen in reality. By progress of artificial intelligence, robots transform into personality that can behave autonomously, then maybe one day they make decision and disobey humans, then something like matrix movie will happen.

# Speaking2

## TPO1

I think the influence of TV can be varied base upon it usage. For example there are many good programs, which they are not only interesting but also they can cause growth of culture, like documentary and technology programs. But on the other hand there are bad programs too, news for example. IT is bullshit, they are always lying to people and it just cause people to feel stress.

# Speaking3

## TPO1

Well, the woman disagrees with the reasons and points made in the letter for some reasons

First of all the woman believes that the money wouldn't be problem and the assumption in the lotter is totally wrong since an anonymous donor is paying the bill for most of the sculptures instead of the university And she disagrees with the advice made in the letter because she thinks that the lawn taken forte sculpturen will only upset Paul alone instead of other students simply because Paul has to move to other places of the campus for his ball playing in a word, the woman disagrees with the letter for the reasons stated above.

## TPO2

The women disagree with the university announcement to server cold breakfast instead of hot breakfast. First she says the hot and the cold is not the issue, for example in a cold day hot omelet is really better than a cold youghart. Second, she believes not only the student could not save the money but also they have to go off campus in order to eat the breakfast that they could not find in the university, and because it is more expensive they have to pay more.

## TPO4

The man strongly disagrees with the article which announced change in scheduling of computer department in fall. First he believes also morning classes are too crowded, but students have their private live in nights, they have their family, jobs, they want to go to clubs and they are very busy. Second he says hiring new professors and keeping university open until night is more expensive than buying a few computers and adding them to laboratory, because the laboratory has enough space for them, Thus is could be a better solution.

# Speaking4

## TPO1

Group think is a process in a group which can cause bad or irrational decisions are taken, because group members tempt to conform to the group consequence. the proffesor talked about his own experience in a computer company which happend a cople years ago.

# Writing 1

## TPO1

The passage says 4 days working per week have many advantages for company and employee both but the lecturer believe working 4 days of week not only has disadvantage for company but also it has negative effects on employees, their carrier and life quality.

First, the passage states that if an employee works 4 days a week then they less tired and more alert, and company have to pay them less, thus it has many financial benefits for company, but the lecturer says company needs to hire more employees and it should give services to them like, medicine, training and like so, which has a lot of cost for the company.

Second, the passage believe that if an employee works 4 days a week then he is fresher and less error prone which this is good for company, but the professor mention this can cause working overtime because more jobs be available, then employee tends to work over time, also it can raise the company expectation, which they except to 5 days work done in 4 days.

Finally, the passage states that it can increase employee life quality because they have more free time to their interest, but the lecture believes it can increase risk of losing job for employees, because the company need to 5 day working manager, which consistently observe company status, so it has many disadvantages for a people carrier.

The passage argues that four-day work week will benefit companies, the whole economy, and individuals. But in the lecture, the professor holds a totally different view that no one will be beneficial from the new work week

Firstly, the passage says that companies will get more profits for employees can work more effectively. However, the lecture points out that four-day workweek will lead to spend more. That is because companies have to spend more money on training, medical benefits, office positions, even new computers.

Secondly the passage claims that the whole economy will benefit for the four-day workweek is a to reduce unemployment rate The lecture refutes the idea completely. Admittedly, there are more available jobs, but companies will prefer to let employee overtime to finish them or ring new staff is too expensive In addition, the companies may lift their expectation that staff finish five-day jobs within foue

Thirdly, the passage suggests that individual employees can benefit from it Though the four-day workweek can provide more leisure meto employees the lecture argues against the passage. Comparing to five-day workers, the four-day workweek employees may be the first one to be fire durg economic depression And they will have less chance to promotion because companies wish that managers could be on duty every work day

## TPO2

The passage argues about benefits of team-working in a project, and it believes that team working has many advantages, but the lecturer says the reasons which provided by the passage are completely wrong, and he completely disagrees with the passage

First, passage states that because the knowledge of a group is wider than a person the project can gain more achievement, however the professor in the lecture says there are always people in the project which take a free ride, without doing anything they can gain what hard workers done in the project, and the free rider and hard workers are the same in a team.

Second, the passage believes that working which done by a team is more creative and quicker. It is creative because responsibility spreads in the team and people tend to accept more risks, but the lecturer states that working as a group is really slow, because the team working needs a lot of meetings, and arguments which cause the process of project development get slower.

Finally, the passage says people in a team have more chance to shine, because they have voice in decision making, and it is very rewarding for them, although the professor in the lecture says there are influential people in a team which cause serious problems, they drop the problem instead of more discussion, for example if there are two groups of people in a team, some thinks a solution highly creative, but another person thinks the solution is completely wrong, then influence people case they select wrong answer which lead to a failed, but here everyone are blamed, even the person who was opposite of the solution.

## TOP3

The passage argues about a painting, and it believes that it is not painted by Rembrandt, but the lecturer says, however, the reasons of the lecture is true, but researchers believe that this painting is by Rembrandt.

First, the passage says there is an inconsistency in the dress of the women in the painting, but the lecturer mentions the color is not the part of original painting which made by Rembrandt and this inconsistency added after a couple of years to the painting.

Second, the passage believes that Rembrandt was master of light and shadow, and there is an error in the painting, but the professor says the error is not in the original painting, and after removing it, everything looks correct.

Finally, about the painting panel, the passage says Rembrandt usually used one piece woody panel, but this painting had made on several pieces of wood which glued together, the women in the lecturer mentions, the original painting had same panel which Rembrandt used in her works, a single panel of wood, but the added part of painting had made on a panel which made of several pieces of wood.

## TPO4

The passage argues about being endotherms or not being endotherms of dinosaurs, and it believes that there are evidences which show they are endotherms, but the professor in the lecture strongly disagrees with those reasons.

First, the passage says dinosaurs are endotherms because they lived in the polar regions, and there are many fossils that discovered in those areas, and the only endotherms can live in such a cold area, but the lecturer believes that those days polar areas were much warmer than today, also they could migrate or hibernate themselves like reptiles.

Second, the passage says the leg position of dinosaurs is another good reason to prove this theory, because there is a relationship between endotherms and not endotherms of animals and their legs position, however the lecturer says because dinosaurs were very big, their legs designed to carry their large bodies. In this way their legs could support more weight.

Finally, the passage mentions, there are a relation between endotherms and bone structure. Usually, endotherms have Haversian canals, and this is another good reason to prove the endothermic of dinosaurs, but the professor says there were growth pattern in the dinosaurs, which change over the time by the environmental conditions, for example they grow slower in cooler period and vice versa, although endotherms have constant body grows pattern even in cold areas.